FitchRatings

RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

Fitch Affirms Central Brown County Water Auth, WI's Water System Revs at 'AA-'; Outlook Stable

Fri 04 Dec, 2020 - 3:29 PM ET

Fitch Ratings - Austin - 04 Dec 2020: Fitch Ratings has affirmed the following bonds issued by the Central Brown County Water Authority, WI (CBCWA, or the authority) at 'AA-':

--Approximately \$92.8 million water system revenue refunding bonds, series 2014A.

In addition, Fitch has assigned a 'AA-' Issuer Default Rating (IDR) to CBCWA.

The Rating Outlook is Stable.

ANALYTICAL CONCLUSION

Fitch's 'AA-' rating and IDR reflect the authority's sufficient and improving net-adjusted debt to adjusted funds available for debt service (FADS), or net leverage, of approximately 9.5x in 2019 (the authority's fiscal year ends Dec. 31) within the context of its very strong revenue defensibility and operating risk profiles. The revenue defensibility assessment considers the strength of authority's wholesale contracts to its six members (the members),

which allows it to reallocate any hypothetical shortfalls by a nonpaying member among its performing members. Member purchaser credit quality (PCQ) is strong, driven primarily by the authority's two largest members, the city of De Pere (water revenue bonds rated 'A+'/Stable) and the village of Howard (unrated by Fitch but internally assessed to be of very strong credit quality). The operating risk profile considers the authority's very low cost burden coupled with its similarly very favorable lifecycle ratio.

CREDIT PROFILE

CBCWA provides wholesale water to its members, which - in addition to De Pere and Howard - include the villages of Allouez and Bellevue and the towns of Lawrence and Ledgeview. The retail members provide service to a combined total of approximately 30,400 water customers in and around the city of Green Bay, WI. CBCWA is a wholesale water customer of Manitowoc Public Utilities (MPU), which provides the authority's treated water supply drawn from Lake Michigan. MPU's current treatment capacity allocated to CBCWA is 20 million gallons per day (mgd), well above the combined members' average demand of approximately 6.7 mgd in 2019.

Coronavirus Considerations

The ongoing coronavirus pandemic reportedly has not materially impacted the authority's revenue. Further, water use remains relatively unchanged from historical consumption patterns. However, Fitch's ratings remain forward-looking in nature; as such, the agency will continue to monitor developments related to the severity and duration of the pandemic and revise expectations for future performance as appropriate.

KEY RATING DRIVERS

Revenue Defensibility 'aa'

Full Ability to Reallocate Costs, Strong Purchaser Credit Quality

Agreements with the authority's members include an unlimited step-up provision that requires performing members to absorb any nonpayment of defaulting members. Rate-

setting is not subject to external approval, providing sound rate flexibility. Lastly, the authority's largest members are of strong credit quality overall.

Operating Risks 'aa'

Very Low Operating Cost Burden, Favorable Capital Planning

The cost per million gallons (mg) delivered is very low, as the authority's operating cost burden finished at just \$1,947 per mg in 2019. The authority's lifecycle ratio is equally favorable given that the distribution-system infrastructure is within the midrange of its useful life.

Financial Profile 'aa'

Improving Leverage Metrics, Minimal Capital Needs

Net leverage has improved to 9.5x in 2019 from 14.1x in 2015. Capital needs are manageable and no new debt is expected, which should lead to further improvements in leverage over time. The authority's coverage of full obligations (COFO) and liquidity profile are also sound and neutral to the assessment.

ASYMMETRIC ADDITIVE RISK CONSIDERATIONS

No asymmetric additive risk considerations affected this rating determination.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

--Continued improvements in net leverage that approach the 7.0x-8.0x range, assuming no deviations from the current revenue defensibility and operating risks assessments.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

- --Unexpected changes in the authority's planned capital spending that result in a need to issue material levels of debt, in turn leading to net leverage generally exceeding 10.0x.
- -- Downward revisions to the authority's largest members' credit quality.
- --Material declines in liquidity that expose bondholders to timing risk of the true-up.
- --Less than sum-sufficient COFO without offsetting liquidity, per Fitch's criteria.

BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO

International scale credit ratings of Sovereigns, Public Finance and Infrastructure issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of three notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit [https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579].

SECURITY

The bonds are secured by net system revenues of the authority, which consist of payments made under water sales contracts from its six members' respective water systems.

REVENUE DEFENSIBILITY

The authority's revenue defensibility is very strong, supporting an assessment of 'aa'. Under the water supply contract between the authority and its members, which provides for full cost recovery, members are billed for operations and maintenance costs, fixed costs (including the payment of debt service and capital costs) and security fund deposits, with such funds held by CBCWA. The contracts are absolute and unconditional take-or-pay obligations requiring members to pay debt service regardless of whether or not any water is delivered. Member systems make payments as an operating expense of their respective retail water systems, senior to any debt service. Although the authority is subject to the jurisdiction of the state's Public Service Commission (PSC), rates are not subject to PSC approval.

In the case of a member default, remaining members are obligated to compensate for nonpayment of defaulting members on a pro-rata basis via funds available in their respective security fund accounts, thereby creating an unlimited step-up feature. While Fitch views the step-up as providing unlimited ability to reallocate costs, Fitch notes that the reallocation occurs during the first quarter of the following year. However, Fitch believes the required debt service reserve and security fund reserves provides sufficient protection to mitigate any timing risks.

The city of De Pere and the village of Howard represented approximately 56% of water sales in 2019. The next two largest members, the villages of Allouez and Bellevue, represented another 32% of annual sales and are deemed to have sound credit characteristics by Fitch. The remaining members represented about 12% of total sales. Overall PCQ is considered strong.

For more information on De Pere, see Fitch's press release, "Fitch Affirms De Pere, WI's Water Utility Revs at 'A+'; Outlook Stable", dated Sept. 29, 2020, available at www.fitchratings.com.

OPERATING RISKS

The authority's operating risks assessment of 'aa' is supported by a very low operating cost burden and a very favorable lifecycle ratio. The operating cost burden finished 2019 at just \$1,950/mg, which is well below Fitch's 'aa' threshold of \$6,500/mg. Given the completion of the distribution system in 2007, the authority's lifecycle ratio is very favorable at 22%, compared to Fitch's 'aa' threshold of 45%. Capex-to-depreciation has averaged about 74% over the past five years, a level Fitch views as adequate given the robust lifecycle ratio.

Approximately \$2.0 million in planned capital spending is expected from 2020 through 2024. Capital investment focuses primarily on efficiency-improvement upgrades and maintenance of existing infrastructure. Management is also assessing future asset management needs to address renewal of the system as it begins to age. However, no major projects are currently anticipated, nor is any new debt issuance.

FINANCIAL PROFILE

The authority's overall financial profile is very strong, assessed at 'aa'. Member charges are set to cover the cost of operations, resulting in COFO typically finishing at 1.0x-1.1x (for 2019, it finished at 1.1x). CBCWA's liquidity cushion ratio/days' cash has remained very strong over the past five years, most recently finishing at 988 days. The ample liquidity balance is based on a significant level of unrestricted cash in addition to restricted monies in its renewal and replacement account, operations and maintenance reserve and coverage account. Together, these funds totaled about \$6.7 million in 2019. The authority's sufficient COFO and strong cash balances result in a liquidity profile that is considered neutral to the overall financial profile assessment.

Debt financing used in the construction of CBCWA's distribution system in 2005 (which was refunded with the authority's 2014A bonds) resulted in elevated leverage metrics throughout much of the last five-plus years. For example, in 2015, net leverage was approximately 14.1x. However, with the completion of the distribution system, limited additional capital needs and ongoing debt amortization, net leverage improved to 9.5x in 2019 and should continue to improve over the next five years.

Fitch Analytical Stress Test (FAST)

The five-year forward look produced by the FAST considers the potential trend of key ratios in base case and stress case scenarios. The authority does not produce a financial forecast; therefore, Fitch used management's budgeted numbers in 2020 followed by inflationary changes in expenses and flat revenue growth. The assumptions are supported by management's expectation that revenues and expenses will remain fairly flat over the next several years. Similarly, budgeted 2020 numbers were also used for capital spending for that year, followed by numbers provided by management in their 2021 10-year capital plan. No sensitization adjustments were made in the FAST related to the pandemic given the authority's minimal revenue interruption to date and the requirement for members to fund all budgeted costs regardless of usage.

The base case and stress case produced through the FAST scenario analysis indicate continued improvements in net leverage, with this metric estimated to fall in the base case to about 7.6x by 2024 due to amortization of existing debt and manageable capex. Fitch's rating stress case, which applies a 10% increase in unaccounted-for capital expenses over the five-year period, resulted in only a slight difference in results given the small size of the capital plan, although net leverage also ends at 7.6x in 2024.

ASYMMETRIC ADDITIVE RISK CONSIDERATIONS

No asymmetric additive risk considerations affected this rating assessment.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis.

REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitchratings.com/esg

RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY/DEBT RATING PRIOR

ENTITY/DEBT	TY/DEBT RATING			PRIOR
Central Brown County Water Authority (WI)	LT IDR	AA- Rating Outlook Stable	New Rating	
 Central Brown County Water Authority (WI) /Water Revenues/1 IT 	LT	AA- Rating Outlook Stable	Affirmed	AA- Rating Outlook Stable
LT				

VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Major Parkhurst

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+15122153724

Fitch Ratings, Inc.

111 Congress Avenue Suite 2010 Austin, TX 78701

Julieta Seebach

Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

+15122153743

Douglas Scott

Managing Director

Committee Chairperson

+15122153725

MEDIA CONTACTS

Sandro Scenga

New York

+1 212 908 0278

sandro.scenga@thefitchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

APPLICABLE CRITERIA

Public Sector, Revenue-Supported Entities Rating Criteria (pub. 27 Mar 2020) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

U.S. Water and Sewer Rating Criteria (pub. 03 Apr 2020) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Policy

ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Central Brown County Water Authority (WI)

EU Endorsed

DISCLAIMER

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS. IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATINGDEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE

LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

READ LESS

COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the thirdparty verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of preexisting third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to

the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see

https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those

subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

READ LESS

SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

US Public Finance Infrastructure and Project Finance North America United States